Effects of Carbon Dioxide Enrichment and Nitrogen Addition on Inorganic Carbon Leaching in Subtropical Model Forest Ecosystems

Juxiu Liu,¹ Zhihong Xu,² Deqiang Zhang,¹ Guoyi Zhou,¹* Qi Deng,¹ Honglang Duan,¹ Liang Zhao,¹ and Chunlin Wang³

¹Key Laboratory of Vegetation Restoration and Management of Degraded Ecosystems, South China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510160, People's Republic of China; ²Environmental Futures Centre & School of Biomolecular and Physical Sciences, Griffith University, Nathan, Queensland 4111, Australia; ³Climate Centre of Guangdong Province, Guangzhou 510080, China

Abstract

Soil mineral weathering may serve as a sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂). Increased weathering of soil minerals induced by elevated CO₂ concentration has been reported previously in temperate areas. However, this has not been well documented for the tropics and subtropics. We used model forest ecosystems in open-top chambers to study the effects of CO₂ enrichment alone and together with nitrogen (N) addition on inorganic carbon (C) losses in the leachates. Three years of exposure to an atmospheric CO₂ concentration of 700 ppm resulted in increased annual inorganic C export through leaching below the 70 cm soil profile. Compared to the control without any CO₂ and N treatments, net biocarbonate C $(HCO_3^{-}-C)$ loss increased by 42%, 74%, and 81% in the high

Received 17 October 2010; accepted 1 April 2011; published online 19 April 2011

*Corresponding author; e-mail: gyzhou@scbg.ac.cn

CO2 concentration treatment in 2006, 2007, and 2008, respectively. Increased inorganic C export following the exposure to the elevated CO₂ was related to both increased inorganic C concentrations in the leaching water and the greater amount of leaching water. Net annual inorganic C $(HCO_3^--C \text{ and carbonate } C: CO_3^{2-}-C)$ loss via the leaching water in the high CO₂ concentration chambers reached 48.0, 49.5, and 114.0 kg $ha^{-1} y^{-1}$ in 2006, 2007, and 2008, respectively, compared with 33.8, 28.4, and 62.8 kg $ha^{-1} y^{-1}$ in the control chambers in the corresponding years. The N addition showed a negative effect on the mineral weathering. The decreased inorganic C concentration in the leaching water and the decreased leaching water amount induced by the high N treatment were the results of the adverse effect. Our results suggest that tropical forest soil systems may be able to compensate for a small part of the atmospheric CO₂ increase through the accelerated processing of CO2 into HCO3-C during soil mineral weathering, which might be transported in part into ground water or oceans on geological timescales.

Key words: carbon dioxide; inorganic carbon loss; mineral weathering; N addition; soil CO₂ flux; subtropical area.

Author Contributions: JL conceived the project, designed, and carried out the survey and manipulation, analyzed data, and drafted the manuscript. ZX and DZ contributed to the design of the study, interpretation of results. GZ conceived and designed the study, assisted in writing of paper, supported the research. QD performed the measurement of soil moisture and soil CO_2 flux. HD, LZ and CW performed the chemical analysis of soil and soil leachate samples.

INTRODUCTION

Increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration has been one of the most pronounced global changes for the past 100 years. To prevent or at least limit the potential adverse impacts of rising CO2 concentrations, it is important to stabilize the atmospheric CO₂ concentration. Berner and Kothavala (2001) pointed out that the weathering of soil carbonate minerals may serve as a sink for increasing atmospheric CO₂. Through soil CO₂ flux (including autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration), CO_2 is released and transitorily kept in soils, and in turn, partly dissolves in the soil solution to form carbonic acid that is available for mineral weathering. As the result of carbonate weathering by carbonic acid (equations 1, 2), bicarbonate and base cations are released. This dissolved C can then be sequestered in shallow groundwater reservoirs or returned to the ocean reservoir via rivers at the geological time scale (Williams and others 2003).

$$CaCO_3 + H_2O + CO_2 = Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3^{-},$$
 (1)

$$CaMg(CO_3)_2 + 2H_2O + 2CO_2 = Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + 4HCO_3^{-},$$
(2)

Under elevated atmospheric CO₂, increased soil CO₂ flux has been demonstrated to affect mineral weathering (Andrews and Schlesinger 2001; Williams and others 2003). Andrews and Schlesinger (2001) conducted an experiment in the Duke forest, and reported that an increase of 55% in atmospheric CO_2 over 2 years resulted in a 33% increase in the flux of dissolved inorganic C to groundwater. Using open-top chambers, Williams and others (2003) determined the effect of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on mineral weathering reactions in mid-latitude forest soils, and found that the CO₂ treatment had a significant effect on the concentration of dissolved inorganic C, which was 12% higher in elevated than ambient CO_2 chambers. However, all these experiments were conducted in temperate forests. There has been little work done in tropical forest ecosystems. The effect of elevated CO₂ on inorganic C leaching in old and highly weathered soils is not well understood, especially in southern China.

It is widely assumed that elevated atmospheric CO₂ leads to reduced stomatal conductance (Saxe and others 1998) and diffusive conductance (Pearson and others 1995; Niklaus and others 1998). Reductions of diffusive and stomatal conductance translate usually into decreased rates of canopy transpiration and increased soil moisture in

 CO_2 -enriched plots (Bunce 2004). Higher soil moisture usually causes a higher fraction of precipitation to flow away through streamflow and deep seepage. If CO_2 enrichment can also increase the weathering of carbonate minerals in forest soils of tropical and subtropical regions, together with the high precipitation and streamflow in these regions, inorganic C leaching could be an important C sink under the global CO_2 enrichment background.

Atmospheric N deposition is a serious problem in southern China. Currently, this leads to high N deposition in precipitation in some forests $(30-73 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1})$ (Ren and others 2000; Mo and others 2006). The N deposition in Guangzhou City of southern China increased from 46 kg N ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ in 1988 to 73 kg N ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ in 1990 (Ren and others 2000). It was reported that increased plant growth under a CO₂ enrichment environment depends on N availability (Luo and others 2006). What would be then the effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ with the increased N deposition on the soil mineral weathering in tropical and subtropical forests?

In this study, we used open-top chambers to study the effect of elevated atmospheric CO_2 alone and together with N addition in southern China on the dynamics of inorganic C in the soil leaching water over 3 years after the treatments started. We hypothesized: (1) elevated atmospheric CO_2 would increase soil moisture, and then increased soil water leaching in the tropical area; (2) elevated atmospheric CO_2 would increase soil mineral weathering, which would lead to increased inorganic C leaching; and (3) inorganic C leaching under elevated CO_2 would be altered by the N supply.

METHODS

Open-Top Chamber Design

Model forest ecosystems were established in 10 open-top chambers (Figure 1). These 3-m diameter chambers consisted of two parts, a 3-m high aboveground part and a 0.7-m deep belowground part. The aboveground part was delimited by impermeable and transparent plastic sheets that were wrapped around the chambers. The tops of these chambers were completely open with no cover. The light intensity in the chambers was 97% of the full light and there was no spectral change. Moreover, the measured rainfall intensity was identical inside and outside of the chambers and the temperature was not significantly different



Figure 1. Open-top chamber in our experiment.

either. The belowground part was delimited by brick walls that prevented any lateral or vertical water and/or element fluxes with the outside surrounding soil. Three holes at the bottom of the walls were connected to stainless steel water collection boxes. Holes were capped by a 2-mm plastic net to prevent losses other than those of leachates. The additional CO_2 coming from the tank was distributed in each chamber by a transparent pipe with pinholes. The pipe entwines the inner wall of each chamber in a snake shape and from 2.5 to 0.5 m in height. The distance between two pinholes is 1 cm. To ensure that CO₂ was equally distributed in the entire chamber, the pipe was connected to a fan. The CO₂ flux from the tank was controlled by a flowmeter and CO₂ concentrations on the five planes (2.5, 2, 1.5, 1.0, and 0.5 m in height) in the chambers were periodically monitored using a Licor-6400 (LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). There were three monitoring points on each plane. Soil moisture was recorded every week on several random points per chamber using time-domain reflectometry (TDR).

Experiment Design

The study was carried out in Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province, China (23°20' N and 113°30' E). The area has a monsoon climate, characterized by a mean annual total solar radiation of



Figure 2. Monthly rainfall and mean temperature in Guangzhou City, China, during the experimental period from 2006 to 2008.

4367.2–4597.3 MJ m⁻² in the visible waveband and a mean annual temperature of 21.5°C. The annual precipitation ranges from 1600 to 1900 mm, and the mean relative humidity is 77%. There are two main seasons, a wet/rainy season from April to September and a dry season from October to March. Rainfall and air temperature during the experimental period are shown in Figure 2.

In March 2005, we collected the soil from a nearby ever-green broad-leaved forest after harvesting. The soil type was ultisol overlying sandstone and shale bedrocks, with a pH value below 4.5 in all the soil layers. Soil organic C is low in this sandy soil. The primary chemical properties were shown in Liu and others (2008). The soil was collected as three different layers (0–20-, 20–40-, and 40–70-cm depth)

that were homogenized separately and used to fill the belowground part of the chambers correspondingly. One- to two-year-old seedlings grown in a nursery were transplanted in the chambers without damaging the roots. All the chambers were planted with 48 randomly located seedlings with 8 seedlings for each of the following six species: Castanopsis hystrix Hook.f. & Thomson ex A.DC, Syzygium hancei Merr. et Perry, Pinus massoniana Lambert, Schima superba Gardn. and Champ., Acmena acuminatissima (Blume) Merr. et Perry, and Ormosia pinnata (Lour.) Merr. These species were selected because they are native and the most widely distributed tree species in southern China. As trees were growing fast, one tree per species was harvested at the end of each year to avoid excessively crowded conditions in each chamber.

The open-top chambers used in the experiment were located in an open area where they were exposed to full light and rain. From April 2005, the chambers were exposed to the different treatments. Three chambers received a high CO₂ and high N treatment (CN), three chambers received a high CO₂ treatment (CC), two chambers a high N treatment (NN), and finally two chambers were used as a control (CK) and did not receive high CO₂ or high N treatment. The high CO₂ treatments were achieved by supplying additional CO₂ from a tank until a CO₂ concentration of 700 ppm was reached in the chambers. The high N addition treatments were achieved by spraying seedlings one time a week for a total amount of NH4NO3 at 100 kg N ha⁻¹ y⁻¹. No other fertilizer was used. Because the walls of the chambers in the belowground parts blocked lateral and vertical water fluxes, the seedlings were watered with tap water. All other chambers received the same amount of water as the CK chambers.

Sample Collection and Measurement

To determine soil C content, soil samples were collected for each chamber in July 2005 and November 2008 (the end of soil solution collection). Soil samples were randomly collected from 0-20, 20-40, and 40-60 cm soil layers in each chamber. Each sample consisted of three cores (2.5-cm diameter) by the same depth in each chamber. The composite samples were air dried, gently mixed, and sieved (<2 mm). Dead roots and plant residues were picked out. Soil organic C was determined following the Walkley Black's wet digestion method (Nelson and Sommers 1982) and inorganic C was measured using colorimetric titration, in which samples were acidified by HCl to

dissolve carbonate minerals and release CO_{2} , and then using NaOH to titrate the excess HCl. Weighted percent inorganic C was then obtained by calculation.

Leaching water sample collection started in 2006 in the second year of the treatment applications and continued for three years. Soil leachates were collected at the bottom of the chamber belowground walls in stainless steel boxes. During the dry season, they were collected after each rainfall. During the wet season, they were collected one time a week. Each time, the exact volume of total leachates was measured and 100 ml per box was collected for chemical analysis. The Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ concentrations in the leachates were measured using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy. Both CO_3^{2-} -C and HCO_3^{-} -C were measured by titration with HCl after the addition of BCP indicator (Vuai and Tokuyama 2007). To determine C input from rainfall, rain water was collected in an open area near the chambers and sent for the same analyses as leachates.

To measure soil CO₂ flux (including autotrophic root respiration and heterotrophic microbial respiration), in April 2006, four PVC circular collars (10-cm diameter) were permanently installed in each chamber; each was inserted about 5 cm into the mineral soil between the growing plants. From 26 May 2006, soil CO₂ flux measurements were made once a week using an infrared gas analysis system (IRGA, LICOR 6400, LiCor Inc, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). To avoid extremely high temperatures at noon, soil CO₂ fluxes were determined in the morning (09:00-12:00). The LICOR 6400 chamber (with a foam gasket) was placed on the PVC collars making an air-tight seal. Soil CO₂ flux of a collar was determined three times repeatedly by measuring the rate of CO₂ increase in the LICOR 6400 respiration chamber. The soil CO₂ flux was based on the average of the data from the four locations in each chamber (they differed by less than 5% at any measurement period). Soil moisture was recorded at the same time when measuring the soil CO₂ flux. It was determined on several random points around each collar using a PMKit (Tang and others 2006).

The growth of five species (*Castanopsis hystrix* Hook.f. & Thomson ex A.DC, *Syzygium hancei* Merr. et Perry, *Schima superba* Gardn. and Champ., *Acmena acuminatissima* (Blume) Merr. et Perry, and *Ormosia pinnata* (Lour.) Merr.) was measured as the increment in plant dimensions over time to determine how the species responded to the various CO₂ and N treatments. Plant height and basal diameter were measured at the time of planting in early March 2005. They were assessed seven times later in August 2005, November 2005, May 2006, September 2007, January 2008, August 2008 and January 2009, respectively. Plant height was measured from the soil-stem surface to the tip of the apical bud and the diameter was assessed at the soil surface.

Data Analysis

Data analyses were carried out using the SAS software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA). For each variable, the normality of the distribution was tested by a Shapiro-Wilk test. We chose α equal to 0.05. Analyzed data consisted of monthly concentrations and amounts of inorganic C in the leachates, monthly amount of leaching water, soil CO₂ flux and soil moisture. Monthly leaching water volumes were calculated by adding each individual amount of leaching water during the whole month. Monthly inorganic C leached was calculated by adding each individual ion concentration measurement factored by their respective amount of leaching water. Standardized average monthly ion concentrations in the leachates were calculated by dividing monthly ion amounts by monthly volumes of the leachates. Annual net loss amounts were calculated by adding monthly amounts but minus the ion inputs from the rainfall. Data were analyzed using the following mixed linear model:

$$\begin{split} Dependent \ variables &= C + N + C * N \\ &+ season + month \ (season) + C * season \\ &+ N * season + C * month \ (season) \end{split}$$

+ N * month (season) + ε

where C is the effect of the CO₂ treatments (ambient or 700 ppm), N is the effect of the N treatment (ambient or high deposition), season is the effect of seasons (wet season from March to September, and dry season during the other months), month (season) is the effect of months nested in their respective season, * is the interaction between the factors, and ϵ is the experimental error. The effect of the chambers nested inside the C and N treatments was added as a random variable. The chamber and the interaction of C * N * season were not significantly affected for the measurement data and therefore were excluded from the Results section. When the effects were significant, they were further analyzed using a Tukey multiple comparison test performed on least square means. Additionally, simple correlations were performed on the whole set of data using the Pearson correlation coefficients.

To evaluate the effect of treatments on plant growth at different measurement occasions, repeated measures of ANOVA were used. When the effects were significant, they were further analyzed using a Tukey multiple comparison test.

RESULTS

Soil C Content

Soil organic C and inorganic C were determined at the beginning (July 2005) and at the end of the experiment (November 2008). The higher soil organic C was observed at the end of the experiment when compared to the initial data in all the treatments. The treatments had a significant effect on soil organic C content, with the greater contents in the CN and NN treatment chambers compared to the other chambers (P < 0.05, Table 1). As for inorganic C, neither treatments nor sampling times affected inorganic C contents.

Soil Moisture and Soil CO₂ Flux

Soil CO₂ flux and soil moisture were recorded once a week. Soil moisture was significantly affected by the CO₂ treatment, N treatment, sampling season (P < 0.0001 for all) and their interactions. Soil moisture showed a strong seasonal pattern with a higher value observed in the wet season (April-September), compared with that in the dry season (October-March) for all treatments. The elevated CO₂ treatment increased soil moisture remarkably, with greater soil moisture in the CC and CN chambers when compared to the control chambers. However, the N treatment decreased significantly the soil moisture, with the lowest soil moisture in the NN treatment chambers (Table 2). With time, the negative effect of the N treatment became more significant. Soil CO₂ fluxes varied with the different measuring times, with higher values in the wet season as soil CO₂ fluxes were positively related to soil moisture (P < 0.0001). The CN treatment showed the highest soil CO_2 flux rates, followed by the CC treatment, and then the NN and CK treatments (Table 2). Both CO₂ and N treatments positively affected soil CO_2 flux rates (P < 0.0001 for both). Among the years, the highest soil CO_2 flux rates were in 2008, and the lowest were in 2007.

Leaching Water Volume and pH

The volumes of leaching water varied throughout the year with the greater amounts during the wet season (from April to September). The monthly volumes of leaching water were consistent with the

Treatments	Soil layers	Soil organic C (%	$_{ m b}$ means \pm SD)	Soil inorganic C	Soil inorganic C (% means \pm SD)		
		Initial	Final	Initial	Final		
CN	0–20	0.450 ± 0.035	1.555 ± 0.070	0.019 ± 0.003	0.014 ± 0.005		
	20-40	0.360 ± 0.006	0.992 ± 0.036	0.016 ± 0.003	0.014 ± 0.007		
	40-60	0.220 ± 0.025	0.680 ± 0.041	0.019 ± 0.006	0.018 ± 0.006		
CC	0-20	0.427 ± 0.033	1.259 ± 0.153	0.019 ± 0.003	0.013 ± 0.002		
	20-40	0.297 ± 0.032	0.812 ± 0.067	0.016 ± 0.003	0.010 ± 0.003		
	40-60	0.140 ± 0.005	0.451 ± 0.030	0.019 ± 0.006	0.011 ± 0.004		
NN	0-20	0.500 ± 0.090	1.498 ± 0.181	0.019 ± 0.003	0.018 ± 0.002		
	20-40	0.225 ± 0.015	0.851 ± 0.011	0.016 ± 0.003	0.017 ± 0.003		
	40-60	0.180 ± 0.030	0.595 ± 0.051	0.019 ± 0.006	0.018 ± 0.004		
СК	0-20	0.425 ± 0.003	1.283 ± 0.097	0.019 ± 0.003	0.017 ± 0.04		
	20-40	0.240 ± 0.020	0.853 ± 0.126	0.016 ± 0.003	0.020 ± 0.05		
	40-60	0.180 ± 0.010	0.462 ± 0.106	0.019 ± 0.006	0.026 ± 0.08		

Table 1. Comparison of Inorganic and Organic Carbon (C) Contents (Means \pm Standard Deviations) of Open-Top Chamber Soils at the Beginning (Initial, July 2005) and at the End of the Experiment (Final, November 2008)

Treatments are: CK = control; NN = high N treatment; $CC = elevated CO_2$ concentration treatment; $CN = elevated CO_2$ concentration treatment + high N treatment.

Table 2. Effects of Treatments on Soil Moisture and Soil CO_2 Flux (Means \pm Standard Deviations)

	2006				2007				2008			
	CN	CC	NN	СК	CN	CC	NN	СК	CN	CC	NN	СК
Soil mo	oisture (%	.)										
Year	22.50a	21.97a	19.67b	20.62b	21.47a	22.00a	18.31c	20.17b	21.55ab	22.45a	18.49c	20.58b
	± 4.99	± 4.77	± 5.01	± 4.50	± 5.82	± 5.59	± 6.57	± 6.60	± 6.78	± 6.93	± 7.07	± 6.88
Wet	25.48a	24.14a	22.48b	22.45b	23.92a	24.42a	21.94b	23.64a	26.48b	27.51a	23.84c	25.61b
	± 4.23	± 4.87	± 4.70	± 4.57	± 5.52	± 5.41	± 6.31	± 6.25	±3.75	± 3.89	± 3.68	± 3.54
Dry	19.28a	19.69a	16.57b	18.66a	18.98a	19.57a	14.70c	16.70b	16.85ab	17.65a	13.53c	15.95b
	± 3.56	± 3.43	± 3.19	± 3.51	± 5.02	± 4.64	± 4.52	± 4.89	± 5.58	± 5.66	± 5.72	±5.89
Soil CO	P_2 flux (μ	mol CO ₂	$m^{-2} s^{-1}$)									
Year	3.72a	3.33b	2.80c	2.53d	3.51a	3.18b	2.51c	2.45c	4.11a	3.43b	2.67c	2.61c
	± 1.41	± 1.19	± 0.97	± 0.76	± 1.48	± 1.54	± 1.61	± 0.92	± 1.88	± 1.65	± 1.51	± 1.16
Wet	4.60a	4.09b	3.02c	2.89c	4.25a	4.12a	2.95b	2.80b	5.21a	4.53b	3.75c	3.27d
	±1.31	± 1.05	± 0.97	± 0.74	± 1.65	± 1.61	± 1.09	± 1.07	±1.73	± 1.56	± 1.46	±1.25
Dry	2.85a	2.51b	2.57b	2.16c	2.76a	2.29b	2.11c	2.14c	3.09a	2.43b	1.72c	2.02d
	± 0.85	± 0.70	± 0.92	± 0.57	± 0.74	± 0.72	± 1.88	± 0.62	± 1.37	± 0.96	± 0.70	± 0.65

Treatments with the same superscript letter are not significantly different from each other (P > 0.05). Treatments are: CK = control; NN = high N treatment; $CC = elevated CO_2$ concentration treatment; $CN = elevated CO_2$ concentration treatment + high N treatment; year = the means of the whole year; wet = the means in the wet season; dry = the means in the dry season.

rainfall amounts during the whole experiment period (Figures 2, 3). This means that higher monthly rainfall led to greater monthly leaching water. There was a higher annual water leaching amount in 2008, followed by 2006 and then 2007. The high CO_2 treatment led to increased leaching water volumes (Table 4), whereas the high N treatment reduced the volumes (Tables 3, 4). Consequently, the greatest water volumes were found in the chambers exposed to the high CO_2 treatment (CC) over the 3 years. There was a strong interactive effect of the high CO_2 and N treatment (P < 0.01 in 2006, P < 0.001 in 2007 and 2008). In 2006, the water volumes in the leachates were in the order: CC > CN > NN > CK. However, in both 2007 and 2008, the greatest water volumes were found in the CC chambers, followed by CK and finally the NN and CN treatments, indicating that with time the N treatments had significant effects on the amount of soil water



Figure 3. Monthly volumes (left column) and pH values (right column) of leachates under different CO₂ and N treatments. Error bars are standard deviations (n = 9 for CC)and CN, n = 6 for NN and CK). Treatments are: CK = control; NN = highN treatment; CC = elevated CO_2 concentration treatment; CN = elevated CO_2 concentration treatment + high N treatment.

Table 3. Effects of Treatments on Annual Volumes of Leaching Water and Annual Amounts of DIC (Dissolved Inorganic C) in Leachates

	2006	2006				2007				2008			
	CN	CC	NN	СК	CN	CC	NN	СК	CN	CC	NN	СК	
Annual amounts	s (L ha ⁻¹	y^{-1})											
Water $\times 10^{-4}$	215b	281a	170c	166d	147c	181a	150c	153b	294c	390a	299c	326b	
Annual amounts	s(kg ha ⁻¹	y^{-1})											
$CO_3^{2-}-C$	0.43a	0.34b	0.15c	0.2c	Not de	tected			0.55b	0.79a	0.25c	0.17c	
HCO ₃ ⁻ -C	38.7b	47.7a	29.4c	33.6c	40.9b	49.5a	29.4c	28.4c	68.5b	113.2a	54.0b	62.6b	
% Increase over	the cont	rol											
Water	30	69	2	0	-4	18	-2	0	-10	20	-8	0	
$CO_3^{2-}-C$	116	72	-24	0	Not de	tected			217	367	50	0	
HCO ₃ C	15	42	-12	0	44	74	4	0	9	81	-14	0	

Treatments with the same superscript letter are not significantly different from each other (P > 0.05). Treatments are: CK = control; NN = high N treatment; $CC = elevated CO_2$ concentration treatment; $CN = elevated CO_2$ concentration treatment + high N treatment.

leached. The volumes of leachates were 69%, 18%, and 20% greater in the CC treatment in 2006, 2007, and 2008, respectively, compared to the control treatment (Table 3).

In 2006, pH values of leaching water increased significantly, from 4.48 in February to 7.79 in

December (Figure 3). In both 2007 and 2008, the pH values in the leachates were relatively stable. However, there were no significant differences between the treatments in both 2006 and 2007. The chambers exposed to the elevated CO_2 had higher pH values in the leachates (P = 0.008) than

Variables	Year	С	Ν	C * N	Season	C * season	N * season	Month (season)	C * month (season)	N * month (season)
Concentrati	ons									
$CO_3^{2-}-C$	2006	3.4	1.41	1.4	15.5***	2.0	0.81	10.7***	2.4*	1.2
	2008	15.5***	0.42	0.3	24.5***	7.7**	1.02	8.0***	3.1**	0.2
HCO ₃ ⁻ -C	2006	7.8**	0.4	0	19.4***	1.5	0.1	7.3***	0.4	0.4
	2007	55.5***	2.2	2.9				26.0***	2.4*	0.9
	2008	8.4**	8.2**	0.2	18.1***	1.1	0.1	2.8*	0.6	0.3
Monthly an	nounts									
Water	2006	86.0***	14.8***	19.1**	61.2***	0.9	0.2	79.4***	1.6	1.0
	2007	22.6***	69.0***	37.4***				353.5****	1.0	0.1
	2008	8.9**	62.9***	19.3***	1338.3****	3.7	11.2**	319.4***	0.7	9.0***
$CO_3^{2-}-C$	2006	4.4*	0.3	0.6	19.8***	2.5	0.2	14.2***	3.3**	1.0
	2008	17.6***	1.4	1.7	0.7	0.4	0.5	18.0***	3.3**	0.4
HCO ₃ ⁻ -C	2006	9.3**	4.0*	0.55	31.0***	2.6	0.1	23.3***	0.9	0.4
	2007	68.4***	16.0***	12.4***				63.2***	6.9***	1.9
	2008	17.1***	17.0***	5.6*	137.4***	6.5*	3.8	28.7***	2.4*	1.5

Table 4. Effects of CO_2 Treatment (C), N Treatment (N), Season and Month (season) and their Interactions on Concentrations and Monthly Amounts of Water and DIC (Dissolved Inorganic C, $CO_3^{2^-}$ -C and HCO_3^{-} -C) in Leachates

the chambers under the ambient CO_2 in 2008. The pH values in the leachates were positively related to both leaching water volume and HCO_3^- -C concentrations.

Inorganic C in the Leachates

The HCO₃⁻-C concentrations in the leachates varied throughout the whole experimental period (Figure 4). Sampling time affected the $HCO_3^{-}-C$ concentrations, with reduced HCO₃⁻-C concentrations during the wet season (Figure 4). Among the 3 years, concentrations of HCO_3^- -C in 2007 showed the highest values, followed by 2008 and then 2006 for the CC, CN, and CK treatments, whereas there was no significant difference between the years for the NN treatment. The $HCO_3^{-}-C$ concentrations in the leachates were positively affected by the high CO₂ treatment and negatively affected by the high N treatment (Table 4). There were no statistically significant differences among the treatments in 2006. However, the greater HCO₃⁻-C concentrations were shown in the CC and CN treatments than the NN and CK treatments (P < 0.0001) in 2007. In 2008, the HCO₃⁻-C concentrations in the treatments followed the order: CC > CN = CK > NN (P = 0.004). The $HCO_3^{-}-C$ concentrations and Ca and Mg concentrations were positively correlated to each other.

The monthly amounts of HCO₃⁻⁻C in the leachates also varied throughout the whole

experimental period. There were more leaching amounts of HCO₃⁻-C in 2008 than the other sampling years. The CO₂ and N treatments affected significantly the monthly amounts of HCO₃⁻-C in the leachates (P < 0.001 for both in 2007 and 2008, Table 4). The combined effect of the high CO₂ and N treatments on the HCO₃⁻-C concentrations was the apparent interaction (P < 0.001 in 2007 and *P* < 0.05 in 2008). Compared to the other treatments, the CC treatment showed the greatest monthly amounts of HCO₃⁻-C in the leachates, and the NN treatment had the lowest monthly amounts. The annual net HCO₃⁻-C leaching losses were 47.7, 49.5, and 113.2 kg $ha^{-1} y^{-1}$ in the CC treatment in 2006, 2007, and 2008, respectively, which were 42%, 74%, and 81% higher than those of the control (Table 3). The annual net HCO₃⁻-C leaching losses were 38.7, 40.9, and 68.5 kg ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ in the CN treatment in 2006, 2007, and 2008, respectively (Table 3). The N treatment reduced the positive effect of high CO₂ treatment on the HCO₃⁻-C loss in the leachates.

Compared to the $\text{HCO}_3^{--}\text{C}$, $\text{CO}_3^{2^--}\text{C}$ concentrations and amounts in the leachates were low. They could not been detected in 2007. Both concentrations and monthly amounts of $\text{CO}_3^{2^--}\text{C}$ in the leachates were affected by the CO_2 treatment and the sampling time. The N treatment had no effects on $\text{CO}_3^{2^--}\text{C}$ (Table 4). The CC and CN treatments exhibited higher $\text{CO}_3^{2^--}\text{C}$ concentrations and monthly leaching amounts than CK and NN



Figure 4. Concentrations of HCO_3^--C (*left column*) and $CO_3^{2-}-C$ (right column) in leachates under various CO2 and N treatments. Error bars are standard deviations (n = 9 for CC and CN,n = 6 for NN and CK). Treatments are: CK = control; NN = highN treatment; $CC = elevated CO_2$ concentration treatment; $CN = elevated CO_2$ concentration treatment + high N treatment. We could not detect the $CO_3^{2-}-C$ concentration in 2007.

treatments. The annual net CO_3^{2-} -C leaching losses were 0.34 and 0.79 kg ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ in the CC treatment in 2006 and 2008, respectively, which were 72% and 367% higher than those of the control (Table 3). The annual net CO_3^- -C leaching losses were 0.43 and 0.55 kg ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ in the CN treatment in 2006 and 2008, respectively (Table 3). The CO_3^{2-} -C concentrations were positively correlated to the Ca and Mg concentrations ($R^2 = 0.31$ and $R^2 = 0.37$, P < 0.05, respectively).

Annual net inorganic C leaching losses were calculated by summing up the C losses from HCO_3^{-} -C and $CO_3^{2^-}$ -C. Annual inorganic C leaching losses were highest in the CC treatments with 48.0, 49.5, and 114.0 kg ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ in 2006, 2007, and 2008, respectively, compared with 33.8, 28.4, and 62.8 kg ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ in the CK treatment in the corresponding years. There were 86.5 and 24.1 kg ha⁻¹ increases of inorganic C loss in the CC and CN treatments compared to the CK treatment during the 3 years. However, there was a decrease of 11.75 kg ha⁻¹ in inorganic C loss in the NN treatment when compared to the CK treatment.

Ca and Mg in the Leachates

Like the concentration of $\text{HCO}_3^-\text{-C}$ in the leachates, concentrations of Ca and Mg in the leachates were also significantly affected by both CO₂ and N treatments (P < 0.001). The CC treatment had greater concentrations of Ca and Mg in the leachates than the other treatments during the experimental period (Figure 5). The N treatment reduced the positive effect of CO₂ on the Ca and Mg leaching losses. The Ca and Mg concentrations were positively correlated to the HCO₃-C concentrations ($R^2 = 0.55$ and $R^2 = 0.35$, P < 0.05, respectively).

Tree Growth

Tree growth as basal diameter and height in our experiment is shown in Figure 6. Treatments did not affect the basal diameter of trees during the whole experiment period. However, the height of trees followed the order: CN > NN = CC > CK. Elevated CO_2 and high N treatment increased the tree height, but the intensity of the treatment effect



Figure 5. Concentrations of Ca (left column) and Mg (right column) in leachates under various CO₂ and N treatments. Error bars are standard deviations (n = 9 for CC and CN,n = 6 for NN and CK). Treatments are: CK = control; NN = highN treatment, $CC = elevated CO_2$ concentration treatment; $CN = elevated CO_2$ concentration treatment + high N treatment.

changed with time (Figure 6). In the short term (the first 6 months), there was no significant difference among the treatments. From the end of 2005 to the end of 2007, the CN treatment showed greater height than the other treatments. During the fourth growth year, there was no significant difference among the treatments again.

DISCUSSION

Soil C

Among the treatments, greater soil organic C was observed in the CN treatment when compared to the other treatments. This result is in accordance with the previous studies (Luo and others 2006), which showed additional N supply enhanced the effects of CO_2 on C accumulations in the soil pools. The high CO_2 treatment alone did not affect soil organic C content, and this supports the findings of Schlesinger and Lichter (2001) and Williams and others (2003), and adds to the uncertainty about whether the soil C pool would act as a net sink for atmospheric CO_2 under future increasing atmospheric CO_2 concentrations (Cox and others 2000). Neither treatments nor sampling times affected soil inorganic C contents; this is in accordance with the finding of Williams and others (2003), where the higher dissolved inorganic C leaching induced by the elevated CO_2 than control did not change the soil inorganic C contents which were low, like those in our soils.

Soil Moisture and Soil CO₂ Flux

Under elevated atmospheric CO_2 , higher soil moisture has been reported previously (Niklaus and others 1998; Morgan and others 2004). Increased soil moisture was also shown in the high CO_2 treatment chambers in our experiment. The decreased soil moisture in the N treatment was due to the increased plant growth under the N fertilizer condition (Figure 6; Duan and others 2009).

Increased soil CO₂ fluxes induced by the CO₂ treatment have been found in many studies (King and others 2001; Pendall and others 2001; Gill and others 2002; Suwa and others 2004; Bernhardt and others 2006). Increased root biomass and soil organic matter resulted in increased root and microbial respiration (de Graaff and others 2006).



Figure 6. Growth of basal diameter (*left column*) and height (*right column*) of trees exposed to the various CO_2 and N treatments. Treatments are: CK = control; NN = high N treatment; CC = elevated CO_2 concentration treatment; CN = elevated CO_2 concentration treatment + high N treatment. *Error bars* are standard deviations (n = 75 for CC and CN, n = 47 for NN and CK). *Different letters* indicate significant differences at the confidence level of P < 0.05 among the treatments.

This is the reason fpr the higher soil CO_2 fluxes under elevated CO_2 (de Graaff and others 2006). Greater soil organic matter was also shown in the CN treatments in our experiment. We also found increased tree growth in this treatment's chambers (Figure 6). The N treatment increased N availability in the soils for faster plant growth, which led to greater soil organic matter in the CN treatment than in the CC treatment. This would also translate to greater soil CO_2 flux rates in the CN treatment than in the CC treatment. Increased soil moisture in the CN and CC chambers also led to higher soil CO_2 fluxes in these treatments.

Variations of Leaching Water Volumes and pH in Leachates

In our study, the volume of leachates varied greatly. There were three main reasons for these variations. The main and most obvious one was the rainfall. The volume of leachates showed a monthly pattern that closely matched the monthly rainfall ($R^2 = 0.49$, P < 0.05). The second factor for the variations was the high CO₂ treatments. High CO₂ concentrations in the CC and CN treatments resulted in a shift of the leaching pattern imposed by the rainfall toward greater leaching rates. This led to annual leaching volumes 69% and 30% greater in the CC and CN treatments, respectively, in 2006 and 18% and 20% in the CC treatment in 2007 and 2008, respectively, compared with those for the control. Increased leaching volumes in these treatments were probably related to the decrease of other water outputs such as lower evaporation and plant transpiration. Indeed, these two treatments also

showed the highest soil moisture contents. Nelson and others (2004) also found that elevated CO_2 increased soil moisture in a long-term field study and might lead to increased water drainage. They suggested that higher soil moisture under elevated CO₂ could cause a greater fraction of precipitation to be lost by run off or deep seepage, which is in accordance with our findings. Finally, the high N treatment was the third factor for the leachate volume variations. High N addition caused a decrease in leachate volume and with time, this decrease became more obvious. This is the consequence of better tree growth following N fertilization (Duan and others 2009). During the low rainfall months, the volume of leachates showed reduced differences between the treatments because less water was available for leaching due to increased plant water use.

In 2006, pH values of leaching water increased significantly. There are three reasons which would lead to the increase in soil water pH values. First, the rise in soil water pH was probably linked to the reduction in NO₃ leaching (Liu and others 2008). Secondly, high precipitation amounts and temperatures since April led to higher rates of weathering of soil minerals and soil organic matter decomposition, which produced more cations and accelerated the exchange of H⁺ with cations. Generally, the seedlings would grow faster during the pioneer stage, which would also facilitate the weathering of soil minerals and soil organic matter decomposition. The chambers exposed to elevated CO₂ had higher pH values in the leachates than the chambers under ambient CO₂ in 2008. This is due to the higher weathering of carbonate minerals in these chambers.

Variations of Inorganic C Leaching Losses

In our study, the significant increases in $CO_3^{2^-}$ -C and HCO_3^- -C concentrations in the leachates that occurred in the high CO_2 treatment chambers were due to the higher soil CO_2 fluxes in the treatment. This result is consistent with the findings in the studies of Andrews and Schlesinger (2001) and Williams and others (2003). Increased concentrations of Ca and Mg were found in the high CO_2 treatments, which also indicated that more chemical weathering of carbonate minerals happened in the high CO_2 treatment chambers. The increased pH values in the leachate of the CC treatment in 2008 also support this result.

We noted that there are a few studies showing higher HCO₃⁻-C concentrations in the soil water induced by elevated CO₂ in forest ecosystems (Andrews and Schlesinger 2001; Williams and others 2003). But none of these could report the exact total amount of inorganic C (CO₃²⁻-C and $HCO_3^{-}-C$) losses induced by the elevated CO_2 due to experiment design limitations. In addition to the fact that there was a higher inorganic C concentration in the leachates in the high CO₂ treatment chambers, we also found that there were greater leaching water amounts in the CC treatment chambers. This led to increased inorganic C leaching exports over the 3 years in these chambers. Compared to the CK chambers, higher soil CO₂ together with the increased soil moisture evidenced in our study, created favorable conditions for chemical weathering (Vuai and Tokuyama 2007). Bicarbonate and free base cations are byproducts of carbonate mineral weathering and an increase of HCO₃⁻-C loss is the sign of accelerated weathering.

With time, the high N treatment reduced the inorganic C loss with the leaching water. Compared to the CK chambers, lower inorganic C losses were found in the NN treatment chambers. Meanwhile, compared to the CC treatment chambers, decreased inorganic C losses were found in the CN treatment chambers. This was related to the lower inorganic C concentrations and less leaching water amounts in these chambers. Less leaching water amount was due to the increase in plant growth in these chambers (Figure 6; Duan and others 2009), which led to increased transpiration and hence decreased leachate volume. There are two reasons that would decrease inorganic C concentrations in the CN and NN treatments when compared to the CC and CK treatments, respectively. First, this is related to the massive atmospheric N deposition that already occurs in our research area. Plant roots could not take up the continued addition of N fertilizer, which led to nitric acid production (Liu and others 2008). Nitric acid would generate protons that could be consumed in the weathering of carbonate minerals (equation 3), and this process might dominate the mineral weathering reaction; less bicarbonate would be then produced.

$$CaCO_3 + 2HNO_3 = Ca^{2+} + 2NO_3^- + H_2O + CO_2,$$
(3)

The lower pH values of the leachates found in the CN treatment, when compared to the CC treatment in 2008, supported this hypothesis. Secondly, increased plant growth in the CN and NN treatments (Figure 6; Duan and others 2009) consumed more soil water, which decreased the reactions shown in equations (1) and (2).

Increasing atmospheric CO₂ and N deposition are two primary and concurrent changes in subtropical China. Elevated CO2 and N addition affected each other in stimulating plant growth (Duan and others 2009), which resulted in complex interactive effects on soil CO₂ flux in our study shown in Deng and others (2010). High CO₂ treatment increased soil CO2 fluxes and soil moisture contents, and then increased the reactions shown in equations (1) and (2), which led to more inorganic C loss. High N treatment decreased soil moisture and then the leaching water amount, which resulted in lower inorganic C loss. Thus, the positive effect of the high CO₂ treatment on inorganic C loss was offset by the high N treatment, indicating a strong interactive effect of these two factors on inorganic C loss. They should be evaluated in combination in subtropical forest ecosystems in China where atmospheric CO_2 and N deposition have been increasing simultaneously and remarkably.

Importance of Inorganic C Losses for Mitigating Atmospheric CO₂ in Tropical Areas

Recently, the uncertainty about whether the soil C pool will act as a net sink for atmospheric CO_2 under elevated CO_2 has been reported by some studies (Cox and others 2000; Schlesinger and Lichter 2001; Hagedorn and others 2003; Lichter and others 2005). New sinks for anthropogenic atmospheric CO_2 should be sought. Chemical weathering through the conversion of CO_2 into its dissolved form which can then be sequestered in water has been considered as a net sink of atmospheric CO_2 (Smedberg and others 2006; Oh and Raymond 2006; Gilfillan and others 2009).

After 2 years of observation in the Duke FACE forest, Andrews and Schlesinger (2001) reported that an increase of 55% in atmospheric CO_2 over 2 years resulted in a 33% increase in the flux of dissolved inorganic C to groundwater. However, after another 3-year study at the same research site, Oh and others (2007) showed that carbonic acid weathering was increased by less than that suggested by Andrews and Schlesinger (2001) as the results of linear mixed-effects models and soil heterogeneity studies showed. There was no significant interaction effect of elevated CO₂ concentration treatment and time detected in soil water chemistry over the 5 years of sample collection. This result is contrary to the findings in our study. Using the same models (linear mixed models), we found that there was a significant interaction effect of elevated CO₂ concentration treatment and sampling time on inorganic C loss via leaching water (Table 4). This could be due to the climate and soil type differences between the two study sites. Our results suggest that tropical forest soil systems may be able to compensate for a small part of the atmospheric CO₂ increase through accelerated processing of CO₂ into HCO₃⁻-C.

Unlike the previous studies, we found that the greater inorganic C loss induced by elevated CO_2 was related to both the increased concentration of inorganic C and the increased leaching water amount. When studying the effects of elevated CO_2 on soil weathering in the future, the change of soil water amount induced by the elevated CO_2 concentration treatment should be considered. In subtropical areas, where rainfall is not distributed evenly throughout the year, with extreme amounts of precipitation in wet seasons, this would increase the weathering of carbonate minerals in the soils in these areas.

There was about a $3 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$ increase of inorganic C loss at elevated compared to ambient CO₂ conditions in our experiment. Using metaanalytic methods, Luo and others (2006) have summarized the results of 104 studies on plant biomass production from free air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) and open-top chamber experiments. They concluded that the averaged rate of C accumulation in land ecosystems is approximately 100 g C m⁻² y⁻¹ more at elevated than ambient CO₂. Compared with the increased C size in plant, litter, and soil pools at elevated than ambient CO₂, the C sink via weathering of soil carbonate is small, however, C stored in plants would finally enter into the ground and decompose at a relatively short temporal scale, and soil C sequestration would not be unlimited (Paustian and others 1997; Six and others 2002).

Atmospheric C that has been consumed in the chemical weathering process is exported as bicarbonate in part to the sea, where C is stored as CaCO₃ at the geological time scale (Smedberg and others 2006). Scaling the inorganic C (HCO₃⁻-C and CO_3^{2-} -C) loss estimates for the model forest ecosystems under elevated CO₂ in our open-top chambers to the global tropical forests suggests a net increased sink of 0.26×10^{14} g C y⁻¹. Therefore, changes in soil water leaching of inorganic C loss are likely to buffer the changes in geologic processes that alter atmospheric CO₂. However, as there is an artificial restriction of the chambers that we used in the study to the plant rooting zone, it is possible that we overestimate the effect of high CO₂ treatment on soil weathering.

CONCLUSION

Elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration resulted in increased soil weathering processes as indicated by the increased HCO₃⁻⁻C and mineral cations measured in the soil leaching water collected at the 70-cm depth. Elevated CO₂ concentration also increased soil moisture contents and resulted in greater volumes of leaching water during the high rainfall events. As a consequence, the elevated CO_2 concentration treatment caused higher inorganic C $(HCO_3^--C \text{ and } CO_3^{2-}-C)$ losses by leaching. The annual net HCO3⁻-C leaching losses were 47.7, 49.5, and 113.2 kg ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ in the chambers exposed to the elevated CO₂ concentration treatment in 2006, 2007, and 2008, respectively. The N treatment reduced the positive effect of the elevated CO₂ concentration treatment on the inorganic C loss in the leachates. The annual net HCO3⁻-C leaching losses were 38.7, 40.9, and 68.5 kg ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ in the chambers exposed to both elevated CO₂ concentration and N treatments in 2006, 2007, and 2008, respectively. Increased inorganic C loss with the leaching water was indirectly due to the higher soil CO2 fluxes and increased soil moisture contents under the elevated CO₂ concentration. The extreme amount of precipitation in wet seasons under the monsoon climate in subtropical areas would increase the inorganic C losses. The consumption of CO_2 to produce HCO3⁻-C through soil chemical weathering may act as a net sink for CO₂ in subtropical areas. However, high N deposition in these areas would decrease the positive effect of elevated CO₂ concentrations on the consumption of atmospheric CO₂/losses of HCO₃⁻-C via belowground water. There was a strong interactive effect of the high CO₂ and N treatment on inorganic C loss.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was jointly funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31070439), the National Key Technology R&D Program (Grant No. 2009BADC6B02), the Knowledge Innovation Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. KSCX2-EW-Q-8) and the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (Grant No. 8351065005000001). Meteorological Bureau of Guangdong Province is acknowledged for providing rainfall and temperature data of Guangzhou City from their on-site weather station.

REFERENCES

- Andrews JA, Schlesinger WH. 2001. Soil CO_2 dynamics, acidification, and chemical weathering in a temperate forest with experimental CO_2 enrichment. Global Biogeochem Cycles 15(1):149–62.
- Berner RA, Kothavala Z. 2001. GEOCARB III: a revised model of atmospheric CO₂ over hanerozoic time. Am J Sci 301:182– 204.
- Bernhardt ES, Barber JJ, Pippen JS, Taneva L, Andrews JA, Schlesinger WH. 2006. Long-term effects of free air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) on soil respiration. Biogeochemistry 77:91–116.
- Bunce JA. 2004. Carbon dioxide effects on stomatal responses to the environment and water use by crops under field conditions. Oecologia 140:1–10.
- Cox PM, Betts RA, Jones CD, Spall SA, Totterdell IJ. 2000. Acceleration of global warming due to carbon-cycle feedbacks in a coupled model. Nature 408:184–7.
- de Graaff M, van Groenigen K, Six J, van Kessel C. 2006. Interactions between plant growth and soil nutrient cycling under elevated CO₂: a meta-analysis. Glob Change Biol 12:2077–91.
- Deng Q, Zhou GY, Liu JX, Liu SZ, Duan HL, Zhang DQ. 2010. Responses of soil respiration to elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen addition in young subtropical forest ecosystems in China. Biogeosciences 7:315–28.
- Duan HL, Liu JX, Deng Q, Chen XM, Zhang DQ. 2009. Interactive effects of elevated CO_2 and N addition on plant biomass accumulation and allocation in subtropical forest ecosystems: a mesocosm study. Chin J Plant Ecol 33:570–9 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Gilfillan SMV, Lollar BS, Holland G, Blagburn D, Stevens S, Schoell M, Cassidy M, Ding Z, Zhou Z, Lacrampe-Couloume G, Ballentine CJ. 2009. Solubility trapping in formation water as dominant CO₂ sink in natural gas fields. Nature 458:614–18.
- Gill RA, Polley HW, Johnson HB, Anderson LJ, Maherali H, Jackson RB. 2002. Nonlinear grassland responses to past and future atmospheric CO₂. Nature 417:279–82.
- Hagedorn F, Spinnler D, Bundt M, Blaser P, Siegwolf R. 2003. The input and fate of new C in two forest soils under elevated CO₂. Glob Change Biol 9:862–73.
- King JS, Pregitzer KS, Zak DR, Sober J, Isebrands JG, Dickson RE, Hendrey GR, Karnosky DF. 2001. Fine-root biomass and fluxes of soil carbon in young stands of paper birch and

trembling aspen as affected by elevated atmospheric CO_2 and tropospheric O_3 . Oecologia 128:237–50.

- Kripalani RH, Oh JH, Chaudhari HS. 2007. Response of the East Asian summer monsoon to doubled atmospheric CO_2 : coupled climate model simulations and projections under IPCC AR4. Theor Appl Climatol 87:1–28.
- Lichter J, Barron SH, Bevacqua CE, Finzli AC, Irving KE, Stemmler EA, Schlesinger WH. 2005. Soil carbon sequestration and turnover in a pine forest after six years of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment. Ecology 86:1835–47.
- Liu JX, Zhang DQ, Zhou GY, Faivre-Vuillin B, Deng Q, Wang CL. 2008. CO₂ enrichment increases nutrient leaching from model forest ecosystems in subtropical China. Biogeosciences 5:1783–95.
- Luo Y, Hui D, Zhang D. 2006. Elevated carbon dioxide stimulates net accumulations of carbon and nitrogen in terrestrial ecosystems: a meta-analysis. Ecology 87:53–63.
- Mo JM, Brown S, Xue JH, Fang YT, Li ZA. 2006. Response of litter decomposition to simulated N deposition in 18 disturbed, rehabilitated and mature forests of subtropical China. Plant Soil 282:135–51.
- Morgan JA, Pataki DE, Korner C, Clark H, Del Grosso SJ, Grunzweig JM, Knapp AK, Mosier AR, Newton PCD, Niklaus PA, Nippert JB, Nowak RS, Parton WJ, Polley HW, Shaw MR. 2004. Water relations in grassland and desert ecosystems exposed to elevated atmospheric CO₂. Oecologia 140:11–25.
- Nelson DW, Sommers LE. 1982. Carbon and organic matter. In: Page AL, Mille RH, Keeney DR, Eds. Methods of soil analysis: part two. Chemical and microbiological properties. Madison, Wisconsin: American Society of Agronomy. p 561–79.
- Nelson JA, Morgan JA, LeCain DR, Mosier AR, Milchunas DG, Parton BA. 2004. Elevated CO₂ increases soil moisture and enhances plant water relations in a long-term field study in semi-arid shortgrass steppe of Colorado. Plant Soil 259:169–79.
- Niklaus PA, Spinnler D, Kornerb C. 1998. Soil moisture dynamics of calcareous grassland under elevated CO₂. Oecologia 117:201–8.
- Oh NH, Raymond PA. 2006. Contribution of agricultural liming to riverine bicarbonate export and CO₂ sequestration in the Ohio River basin. Global Biogeochem Cycles 20:GB3012.
- Oh NH, Hofmockel M, Lavine ML, Richter DD. 2007. Did elevated atmospheric CO₂ alter soil mineral weathering?: an analysis of 5-year soil water chemistry data at Duke FACE study. Glob Change Biol 13:2626–41.
- Paustian K, Collins HP, Paul EA. 1997. Management controls on soil carbon. In: Paul EA et al., Eds. Soil organic matter in temperate agro-ecosystems—Long-term experiments in North America. New York: CRC Press. p 15–42.
- Pearson M, Davies WJ, Mansfield TA. 1995. Asymmetric responses of adaxial and abaxial stomata to elevated CO₂—impacts on the control of gas-exchange by leaves. Plant Cell Environ 18(8):837–43.
- Pendall E, Leavitt SW, Brooks T, Kimball BA, Pinter PJ, Wall GW, LaMorte RL, Wechsung G, Wechsung F, Adamsen F, Matthias AD, Thompson TL. 2001. Elevated CO₂ stimulates soil respiration in a FACE wheat field. Basic Appl Ecol 2:193–201.
- Ren R, Mi F, Bai N. 2000. A chemometrics analysis on the data of precipitation chemistry of China. J Beijing Polytech Univ 26:90–5 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Saxe H, Ellsworth DS, Heath J. 1998. Tansley Review No. 98 Tree and forest functioning in an enriched CO_2 atmosphere. New Phytol 139:395–436.

- Schlesinger WH, Lichter J. 2001. Limited carbon storage in soil and litter of experimental forest plots under increased atmospheric CO₂. Nature 411:466–9.
- Six J, Conant RT, Paul EA, Paustian K. 2002. Stabilization mechanisms of soil organic matter: implications for C-saturation of soils. Plant Soil 241:155–76.
- Smedberg E, Morth CM, Swaney DP, Humborg C. 2006. Modeling hydrology and silicon-carbon interaction in taiga and tundra biomes from a landscape perspective: implications for global warming feedbacks. Global Biogeochem Cycles 20:GB2014. doi:10.1029/2005GB002567.
- Suwa M, Katul GG, Oren R, Andrews J, Pippen J, Mace A, Schlesinger WH. 2004. Impact of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on

forest floor respiration in a temperate pine forest. Global Biogeochem Cycles 18:GB2013. doi:10.1029/2003GB002182.

- Tang XL, Liu SG, Zhou GY, Zhang DQ, Zhou CY. 2006. Soil atmospheric exchange of CO_2 , CH_4 and N_2O in three subtropical forest ecosystems in southern China. Glob Change Biol 12:546–60.
- Vuai SAH, Tokuyama A. 2007. Solute generation and CO₂ consumption during silicate weathering under subtropical, humid climate, northern Okinawa Island, Japan. Chem Geol 236:199–216.
- Williams EL, Walter LM, Ku TCW, Kling GW, Zak DR. 2003. Effects of CO_2 and nutrient availability on mineral weathering in controlled tree growth experiments. Global Biogeochem Cycles. 17(2), GB1041.